

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	D INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/930,379	08/15/2001	Jussi Numminen	944-003.027-1/32439	9197	
4955	7590 05/03/2004		EXAMINER		
WARE FR	RESSOLA VAN DER S	HOM, SH	HOM, SHICK C		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
755 MAIN	STREET, P O BOX 224		2666	6	
MONROE, CT 06468			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)				
•	_	''		7				
Office Action Summary		09/930,37	9 	NUMMINEN, JUSSI				
	omoo Aouon ounnary	Examiner		Art Unit				
<del></del>	The MAIL INC DATE of this communic	Shick C H		2666	Idraes			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	. ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) 🖂 F	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/29/04 and 2/9/04.							
•—	, ,	) This action is n						
3) 🗌 🤄	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)⊠ (	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-6,10-16 and 21-29 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) 7-9 and 17-20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)∐ T	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(	s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date <u>7</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 2666

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In page 11, applicant argued that Muller does not teach or suggest the method for operating user equipment in a telecommunication network for receiving packets during a packet service mode featuring the step of entering user equipment into a discontinuous reception mode when receiving packets while maintaining the logical connection in higher protocol layers during a packet service mode; applicant argued that Muller merely discloses placing the user equipment in a sleep mode but not when receiving packets during a packet service mode as claimed is not persuasive because col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 18 which recite the step of determining network access restriction group using the mobile identification number in the packet and the paging time interval for conserving battery power by entering a sleep, battery conservation mode including the step of monitoring a clock or timer so that when the mobile's group time interval arrives, the unit leaves the sleep mode and supplies battery power to transceiver which tunes to the paging

Art Unit: 2666

channel, receives the message, and reads the message transmit during that time interval and if there are no messages for its group, the control unit returns the mobile station to the battery conservation sleep mode clearly read on the step of entering user equipment into a discontinuous reception mode when receiving packets while maintaining the logical connection in higher protocol layers during a packet service mode as argued.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

Art Unit: 2666

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5, 10, 12, 15, 16, and 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muller (6,438,375). Regarding claim 1:

Muller discloses the method for operating user equipment in a telecommunication network for receiving packets during a packet service mode, comprising the step of: receiving one or more packets during a packet service mode (see col. 2 lines 29-53); and entering the user equipment into a discontinuous reception mode by receiving either: a) two or more slots of each radio frame, or b) one or more frames; and powering down receiver circuitry of the user equipment for either a) the remaining slots of the radio frame or b) one or more predefined periods, signaled by the telecommunication network (see Figs. 1-2, and col. 4 line 50 to col. 5 line 25), so as to establish a discontinuous radio link for the user equipment in the telecommunication network in a physical radio transmission layer when receiving the one or more packets while maintaining the logical connection in higher protocol layers during the packet service mode (see col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 18).

Art Unit: 2666

# Regarding claim 22:

Muller discloses the user equipment for operating in a telecommunication network for receiving packets during a packet service mode (see col. 6 line 50 to col. 7 line 8), characterized in that the user equipment includes a user equipment power control loop module that enters the user equipment into a discontinuous reception mode for receiving two or more slots of each radio frame with receiver circuitry and for powering down the receiver circuitry for the remaining slots of the radio frame (see Figs. 1-2, col. 2 lines 29-53, and col. 4 line 50 to col. 5 line 25), so as to establish a discontinuous radio link for the user equipment in the telecommunication network in a physical radio transmission layer when receiving the one or more packets while maintaining the logical connection in higher protocol layers during the packet service mode (see col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 18).

### Regarding claim 26:

Muller discloses the base station for operating in a telecommunication network for providing packets during a packet service mode to user equipment having receiver circuitry (see col. 1 lines 14-30, col. 6 line 50 to col. 7 line 8), characterized in that the base station includes a base station power control loop module that provides a signal to the user

Art Unit: 2666

equipment to enter into a discontinuous reception mode for receiving two or more slots of each radio frame and to power down its receiver circuitry for the remaining slots of the radio frame (see Figs. 1-2, col. 2 lines 29-53, and col. 4 line 50 to col. 5 line 25), so as to establish a discontinuous radio link for the user equipment in the telecommunication network in a physical radio transmission layer when receiving the one or more packets while maintaining the logical connection in higher protocol layers during the packet service mode (see col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 18).

# Regarding claim 2:

Muller discloses that packet transmission starts in one out of every K radio frames (col. 2 lines 29-53).

### Regarding claim 3:

Muller discloses that the two or more slots are consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 2 lines 54-64).

# Regarding claim 4:

Muller discloses that the two or more slots are non-consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 3 lines 14-30). Regarding claim 5:

Muller discloses that the user equipment has an active period of two or more consecutive slots or idle frame(s) prior to its own reception for performing neighbor measurements and

Art Unit: 2666

power control functions (col. 2 lines 19-64 and col. 3 lines 31-47).

# Regarding claim 10:

Muller discloses that in the discontinuous reception mode .

the user equipment switches off the receiver circuitry for a part of the radio frame or one or more radio frames (col. 5 lines 14-25, col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 18).

# Regarding claim 12:

Muller discloses that the user equipment receives higher layer signalling from a radio network controller or a base station in the telecommunications network that defines a period where the user equipment needs to perform a decoding of the radio frame or slots in order to detect if packet transmission is active (col. 2 lines 29-53, col. 3 lines 31-47, col. 4 lines 20-32).

#### Regarding claim 15:

Muller discloses that in a discontinuous period the user equipment waits a fixed discontinuous period of time (col. 2 lines 29-53 and col. 3 line 64 to col. 4 line 19).

### Regarding claim 16:

Muller discloses that in a discontinuous period the user equipment waits a variable discontinuous period of time (col. 3 lines 14-30).

Art Unit: 2666

# Regarding claim 21:

Muller discloses that the user equipment concurrently enters into a discontinuous transmit mode and performs one or more closed loop power control sequences for following the fading of an uplink, a downlink or both when its transmitter is active (col. 2 lines 19-52 and col. 3 lines 14-30).

Muller discloses that the power control loop module checks for packet transmission in one out of every K radio frames (col. 2 lines 29-53).

# Regarding claim 24:

Regarding claim 23:

Muller discloses that the power control loop module checks two or more consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 2 lines 29-64).

### Regarding claim 25:

Muller discloses that the power control loop module checks two or more non-consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 3 lines 14-30).

### Regarding claim 27:

Muller discloses that the signal contains information for the user equipment to check for packet transmission in one out of every K radio frames (col. 2 lines 29-53).

# Regarding claim 28:

Art Unit: 2666

Muller discloses that the signal contains information for the user equipment to check two or more consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 2 lines 29-64).

Regarding claim 29:

Muller discloses that the signal contains information for the user equipment to check two or more non-consecutive slots in the radio frame (col. 3 lines 14-30).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller (6,438,375) in view of Osawa (6,035,208).

For claim 6 Muller discloses the method described in paragraph 5 of this office action. Muller discloses all the

Art Unit: 2666

subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the user equipment adapts the active period depending on neighborhood conditions by increasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are unstable, and decreasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are stable.

Osawa from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the user equipment adapts the active period depending on neighborhood conditions by increasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are unstable, and decreasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are stable (see col. 2 line 66 to col. 3 line 6 and col. 3 lines 25-Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the user equipment which adapts the active period depending on neighborhood conditions by increasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are unstable, and decreasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are stable as taught by Osawa in the communications method of Muller. motivation for providing the user equipment which adapts the active period depending on neighborhood conditions by increasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are unstable, and decreasing the active period when neighborhood conditions are stable as taught by Osawa in the communication method of Muller

Art Unit: 2666

being that it provides more efficiency for the system since system resource is utilized only during the active period.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller (6,438,375) in view of Mizota (4,713,809).

For claim 11 Muller discloses the method described in paragraph 5 of this office action. Muller discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the radio frame includes fifteen slots, and the part of the radio frame that the user equipment switches off the circuitry in the receiver is thirteen of fifteen slots.

Mizota from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the radio frame includes fifteen slots, and the part of the radio frame that the user equipment switches off the circuitry in the receiver is thirteen of fifteen slots (see Figs. 2, 6 and col. 4 lines 25-47). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the radio frame including fifteen slots, and the part of the radio frame that the user equipment switches off the circuitry in the receiver is thirteen of fifteen slots as taught by Mizota in the communications method of Muller. The motivation for providing

Art Unit: 2666

the radio frame which includes fifteen slots, and the part of the radio frame that the user equipment switches off the circuitry in the receiver is thirteen of fifteen slots as taught by Mizota in the communication method Muller being that it reduces power consumption in the network.

7. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller (6,438,375) in view of Dent (5,757,789).

For claims 13-14 Muller discloses the method described in paragraph 5 of this office action. Muller discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the user equipment determines that the radio frame contains data targeted by decoding the radio frame using a cyclic redundancy code and having a correct cyclic redundancy code result.

Dent from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the user equipment determines that the radio frame contains data targeted by decoding the radio frame using a cyclic redundancy code and having a correct cyclic redundancy code result (see col. 14 line 26 to col. 15 line 16). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the radio frame containing data targeted by decoding the radio

Art Unit: 2666

frame using a cyclic redundancy code and having a correct cyclic redundancy code result as taught by Dent in the communications method of Muller. The motivation for providing the radio frame containing data targeted by decoding the radio frame using a cyclic redundancy code and having a correct cyclic redundancy code result as taught by Dent in the communication method of Muller being that it provides more reliability for the system since the system can correct error at the receiving end.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-9 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Application/Control Number: 09/930,379

Art Unit: 2666

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(for informal or draft communications, please
label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 14

Art Unit: 2666

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick Hom whose telephone number is (703) 305-4742. The examiner's regular work schedule is Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm EST and out of office on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached at (703) 308-5463.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SH

April 26, 2004

DOME TON TRINGEN EVALUATE